

To: Herrera, Angeles[Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov]; Ball, Harold[Ball.Harold@epa.gov]
Cc: Zito, Kelly[ZITO.KELLY@EPA.GOV]; Cafasso, Sarah[Cafasso.Sarah@epa.gov]; Harris-Bishop, Rusty[Harris-Bishop.Rusty@epa.gov]; PerezSullivan, Margot[PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov]; Rodriguez, Dante[Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov]; Seter, David[Seter.David@epa.gov]; Manzanilla, Enrique[Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov]
From: Lyons, John
Sent: Mon 8/14/2017 7:04:38 PM
Subject: RE: EPA questions

Looks good to me as well.

John Lyons

Acting Deputy Director

Superfund Division, Region 9

(415) 972-3889

From: Herrera, Angeles
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2017 10:52 AM
To: Ball, Harold <Ball.Harold@epa.gov>; Lyons, John <Lyons.John@epa.gov>
Cc: Zito, Kelly <ZITO.KELLY@EPA.GOV>; Cafasso, Sarah <Cafasso.Sarah@epa.gov>; Harris-Bishop, Rusty <Harris-Bishop.Rusty@epa.gov>; PerezSullivan, Margot <PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov>; Rodriguez, Dante <Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov>; Seter, David <Seter.David@epa.gov>; Manzanilla, Enrique <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: EPA questions

I agree with Harry's proposed changes.

From: Ball, Harold
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2017 10:45 AM
To: Lyons, John <Lyons.John@epa.gov>; Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>

Cc: Zito, Kelly <ZITO.KELLY@EPA.GOV>; Cafasso, Sarah <Cafasso.Sarah@epa.gov>; Harris-Bishop, Rusty <Harris-Bishop.Rusty@epa.gov>; PerezSullivan, Margot <PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov>; Rodriguez, Dante <Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov>; Seter, David <Seter.David@epa.gov>; Manzanilla, Enrique <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: EPA questions

I would make the following edit:

If the EPA agrees to deferment, the Anaconda Mine Site would remain on the EPA's list of proposed National Priorities List sites until de-proposed after the site is completely cleaned up.

=====

Harold Ball, Chief, NV & Federal Facilities Section (S82), EPA Region 9 Superfund, w) 415.972.3047, c) 415.819.9821, ball.harold@epa.gov

From: PerezSullivan, Margot
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2017 10:30 AM
To: Lyons, John <Lyons.John@epa.gov>; Ball, Harold <Ball.Harold@epa.gov>; Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>; Rodriguez, Dante <Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov>
Cc: Zito, Kelly <ZITO.KELLY@EPA.GOV>; Cafasso, Sarah <Cafasso.Sarah@epa.gov>; Harris-Bishop, Rusty <Harris-Bishop.Rusty@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: EPA questions
Importance: High

Hi Folks,

This is still outstanding. I sent the desk statement we worked on last week to Noah at NPR after HQ approved. He asked a clarifying question (in italics below) In red text, I have a clarifying statement, please review and let me know if it's correct. Lastly, is superfund interested in granting an interview on this topic? If so, please let me know so we can begin the approval

process with HQ. At this particular point in the process, we would really only be able to speculate. However, I defer to your thoughts on this. Please let me know, thanks!

From Noah @ NPR: Also, just want to clarify something real quick. In the statement, you say "The Anaconda Mine Site would remain on the EPA's list of proposed National Priorities List sites." Is that if the EPA decides to accept the deferment? It seems to me that it would be removed from the NPL if the deferment is approved, but would remain on the Superfund listing. Is that correct?

Is this statement correct? If so, should we modify the desk statement for clarification purposes?

If the EPA agrees to deferment, the Anaconda Mine Site would remain on the EPA's list of proposed National Priorities List sites.

Margot Perez-Sullivan
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
D: 415.947.4149

C: 415.412.1115

E: perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov

From: Lyons, John
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 4:10 PM
To: PerezSullivan, Margot <PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov>; Ball, Harold <Ball.Harold@epa.gov>; Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>
Cc: Zito, Kelly <ZITO.KELLY@EPA.GOV>; Cafasso, Sarah <Cafasso.Sarah@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: EPA questions

Looping in Enrique

From: PerezSullivan, Margot

Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 3:55 PM

To: Lyons, John <Lyons.John@epa.gov>; Ball, Harold <Ball.Harold@epa.gov>; Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>

Cc: Zito, Kelly <ZITO.KELLY@EPA.GOV>; Cafasso, Sarah <Cafasso.Sarah@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: EPA questions

Hi Folks,

I sent the desk statement we worked on yesterday to Noah at NPR after HQ approved. He asked a clarifying question (below) is this statement correct:

If the EPA agrees to deferment, the Anaconda Mine Site would remain on the EPA's list of proposed National Priorities List sites.

Also, just want to clarify something real quick. In the statement, you say "The Anaconda Mine Site would remain on the EPA's list of proposed National Priorities List sites." Is that if the EPA decides to accept the deferment? It seems to me that it would be removed from the NPL if the deferment is approved, but would remain on the Superfund listing. Is that correct?

Is SF interested in granting an interview on this topic? If so, please let me know so we can begin the approval process with HQ. At this particular point in the process, we would really only be able to speculate. However, I defer to your thoughts on this. Please let me know, thanks!

Margot Perez-Sullivan
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
D: 415.947.4149

C: 415.412.1115

E: perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov

From: Noah Glick [<mailto:noah@kunr.org>]
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 3:20 PM
To: PerezSullivan, Margot <PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: EPA questions

Hey Margot,

Any chance for any availability later in the week?

Also, just want to clarify something real quick. In the statement, you say “The Anaconda Mine Site would remain on the EPA’s list of proposed National Priorities List sites.” Is that if the EPA decides to accept the deferment? It seems to me that it would be removed from the NPL if the deferment is approved, but would remain on the Superfund listing. Is that correct?

Noah

From: PerezSullivan, Margot [<mailto:PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 2:51 PM
To: Noah Glick
Subject: RE: EPA questions

Hi Noah, I’m not sure about availability next week. I’ve included a statement below which summarizes the agency’s position.

On July 31, the EPA received a letter from the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection. The letter requested EPA defer National Priorities List determination, while NDEP oversees the cleanup of the Anaconda Mine Site. The EPA is currently evaluating NDEP’s request. The Anaconda Mine Site would remain on the EPA’s list of proposed National Priorities List sites.

The EPA is committed to continuing to work with NDEP, the Yerington Paiute Tribe, the Walker River Paiute Tribe, the local community, the Bureau of Land Management and the Atlantic Richfield Company to clean up the Anaconda Mine site.

Margot Perez-Sullivan
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
D: 415.947.4149

C: 415.412.1115

E: perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov

From: Noah Glick [<mailto:noah@kunr.org>]
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 11:21 AM
To: PerezSullivan, Margot <PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: EPA questions

Yeah, I was hoping to do a recorded interview. I understand that the EPA has a decision to make concerning whether or not to accept the deferral request from the state. So ideally I'd like to set something up sooner rather than later. Would Monday or Tuesday be open?

My direct office line is 775-682-6063 and my cell is 415-672-1384. If we can set up an interview time, then I can give you the number of the production studio (depends which one I can book that day).

Thanks, Margot!

Noah

From: PerezSullivan, Margot [<mailto:PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 11:13 AM
To: Noah Glick
Subject: Re: EPA questions

Thanks Noah. Some key staff are out today. Were you looking for a recorded interview? What's a good number to call?

Margot Perez-Sullivan
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
D: [415.947.4149](tel:415.947.4149)

C: [415.412.1115](tel:415.412.1115)

E: perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov

On Aug 11, 2017, at 9:55 AM, Noah Glick <noah@kunr.org> wrote:

Hey Margot! How goes it?

I was hoping to chat more about the Anaconda Mine cleanup. The state of Nevada has put a deferral on superfund listing. Curious to know what that means for the EPA moving forward on this project. Are you taking a more supporting role then in cleanup? And will there be any oversight? Also wondering about talk on a federal level about limiting the EPA and how that might impact a project such as this.

Was hoping to set up a time to chat over the phone to learn more about the project and how it would work from your end.

Best,

Noah

From: PerezSullivan, Margot [<mailto:PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 9:48 AM

To: Noah Glick
Subject: EPA questions

Hi Noah,

I understand you have some questions. Please send them my way. Thanks!

Margot Perez-Sullivan
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
D: 415.947.4149

C: 415.412.1115

E: perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov